

Remarks/Arguments

Claims 1-18 are pending. Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claims 1-18 are allowed.

Objections to the Specification

The Office Actions sets forth at page 2 that the specification is objected to because the abstract contains legal phraseology. Applicants submit herewith a revised abstract that overcomes the objection. Applicants respectfully request, therefore, that the objection to the abstract be withdrawn.

Claim Objections

The Office Action sets forth at page 2, paragraph 2 that claims 1, 6, and 12 are objected to for containing informalities. Applicants have amended the claims as suggested by the Examiner. Applicants respectfully request, therefore, that the objection to claims 1, 6, and 12 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Office Action sets forth at page 2, paragraph 4 that claims 1, 3, 12, 15, 16 and 18 are rejected as being indefinite. Applicants note that there was no detailed citation to any reason to reject claim 18. Accordingly claim 18 is not amended herein.

With respect to claim 1, applicants respectfully traverse the rejection set forth in paragraph 5. Specifically, the preamble of claim 1 discusses the presence of objects in a blind angle of an automobile vehicle. Clause 1 of claim 1 discloses "the entry of an object...in a zone of said blind angle". Applicants respectfully submit that "the entry" is not indefinite and is clearly linked to the language of the preamble. Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

With respect to the remaining issues cited by the Office at page 3, paragraphs 5-9 and 11-14, applicants have appropriately amended the claims. With respect to page 3, paragraph 10, applicants have appropriately amended claim 1 with respect to "the value", "the basis of the results", "the trajectory" and "the influence of said possible magnetic distortion". Regarding the objection to "said analysis", applicants respectfully disagree. It is clear that "said analysis" in the third clause of claim 1 has clear antecedent basis from the second clause of claim 1.

In view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, applicants submit that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPrestia


Jacques L. Etkowicz, Reg. No. 41,738
Attorney for Applicants

JLE/kpc


Attachment: Abstract

Dated: September 9, 2008

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482
(610) 407-0700

The Director is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. **18-0350** for any additional fees, or any underpayment or credit for overpayment in connection herewith.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically transmitted to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA on September 9, 2008.


Kathleen P. Carney

Kathleen P. Carney